

CALL-IN REQUEST FORM

This form must be completed, signed by at least two members of any Scrutiny Commission or Scrutiny Committee and returned to the Scrutiny Team within 3 working days of the decision being published (*not including the day of publication*)

Decision taker:	Cabinet
Date of publication of decision:	10 February 2010
Decision Called in :	Bus Service Review

	REASONS FOR CALL-IN	Tick which reason applies
1.	Decision contrary to the policy framework?	
2.	Decision contrary or not wholly consistent with the budget?	
3.	Decision is Key but it has not been dealt with in accordance with the Council's Constitution.	
4.	Decision does not follow principles of good decision-making set out in Article 12 of the Council's Constitution.	✓
If reason 4, please tick which specific element of Article 12 the decision maker has not followed, did he or she not:		
(a)	Realistically consider all alternatives and, where reasonably possible, consider the views of the public.	✓
(b)	Understand and keep to the legal requirements regulating their power to make decisions	
(c)	Take account of all relevant matters, both in general and specific, and ignore any irrelevant matters.	
(d)	Act for a proper purpose and in the interests of the public.	
(e)	Keep to the rules relating to local government finance.	
(f)	Follow procedures correctly and be fair.	✓
(g)	Make sure they are properly authorised to make the decisions.	
(h)	Be responsible for their decisions and be prepared to give reasons for them.	
(i)	Take appropriate professional advice from officers.	

Detailed Reason(s) for Call-in. *Please explain below why one of the reasons for call-in applies (eg. For number 1 - which major policy affected and how/why)*

- (1) Issues relating to early morning journeys on the 406, which were detailed incorrectly in the report, were not corrected.
- (2) Alternative proposals were put forward and not referred to in the Cabinet report.
- (3) Received additional information on the 402/404 passenger numbers which do not show a low number of use.
- (4) Complaints made by the Youth Council that they were not consulted on the proposals.

	Name (please print)	Signature	Date
1.	Cllr N Sandford	Cllr N Sandford	15/02/10
2.	Cllr D Day	Cllr D Day	15/02/10
3.	Cllr JA Fox	Cllr JA Fox	15/02/10

THIS PART OF THE CALL-IN REQUEST FORM IS TO BE COMPLETED BY THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE (OR HIS/HER REPRESENTATIVE)

Date form received:		15 February 2010	
Form processed by (name):		Louise Tyers	
Was Call-in request received within timescales?	YES	If No, reject and inform parties, initial and date this box to show completed	
Is the request form signed at least 2 members of any Scrutiny Commission or Scrutiny Committee?	YES	If No, reject and inform parties, initial and date this box to show completed	
URGENCY			
1.	In the view of the decision-maker, was the decision made of an urgent or special urgent nature, and if so, why?		
2.	In the view of the Chairman of the Environment Capital Scrutiny Committee, is the decision under consideration sufficiently urgent that it should not be available for call-in, and if so, why?		
To be considered by which Scrutiny Commission/Committee		Environment Capital Scrutiny Committee	
Date for Scrutiny Commission/Committee to consider request for call-in		23 February 2010	
Result of the Scrutiny Commission/Committee's considerations			
If necessary, which decision-maker is to reconsider decision and by which date?	Decision-maker	Date	
Signature and date of officer completing Call-in request form	Signature	Date	
	Name (please print)		

This page is intentionally left blank